



Trade-offs between ecosystem services in rice cropping system

Presented by NEANG Malyne,
Director of ECOLAND research center
Division of Research and Extension
Royal University of Agriculture
nmalyne@rua.edu.kh
http://www.rua.edu.kh/view_divicen/164256924384





Cambodian Important agro-ecosystem



- 15,000 km² in rainy season (May-Oct) and 2,500 km² in Dry season (Nov-Apr).
- Biosphere Reserve of Cambodia and the world's most productive freshwater and wetland ecosystems :
 - fourth most productive captive fishery in the world, representing 16% of the Mekong river fish capture.
 - flood regulation “flood pulse”
 - and large seasonal reproductive grassland habitat to two-thirds of the world's bird populations.

Ecosystem of Tonle Sap Lake, a productive and vulnerable agro-ecosystem needs to be preserved



Farmer choices on an agro-ecosystem with high risk of flood



3 main strategies from farmers to combine different rice cropping systems on TLS agro-ecosystem:

- Increase productivity with low risk of flood: “short-term rice”
- Continue to produce despite floods and adapt to water regime: “rainy season rice” and “floating rice”
- Increase value-added: “organic rice”



Trade-off between Ecosystem Services in Short-term rice



A simple view



Ecosystem Services (ES):
High Provisioning services
- High Yield

Ecosystem Disservices

- Disturb water flow and sedimentation
- Decrease inland aquatic habitat and agrobiodiversity
- Increase Water and Soil Pollution
- Produce food with high chemical residues
- Reduce genetic resources in rice varieties





Trade-off between Ecosystem Services in Short-term rice



A simple view



Low provisioning service:

- Low yield

Other provisioning serves:

- NTFPs
- Fish, Vegetables and other agro-biodiversity
- Grazing
- Fire wood



Others ES:

Regulating service:

- Flood regulation, Habitat and Biodiversity
- soil formation from deposit
- Preserve fauna, flora and amphibians of rice fields.
- Less chemical residue leaching into water.
- soil biodiversity and water quality





Economic comparison



An example

	Yield	Price	Income	Cost for Chemical Input	Cost for labour and others	Cost Rent land & Water	Margin 1 (without land and water cost)	Margin 2 (with land rent & water costs)
	<u>t/ha</u>	<u>\$/t</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>
STR	4.65	200	930	220	344	236	366	130
FR	1.6	190	304	47	140	0	117	

Total cost: 800\$



Perspective from different actors for rice sector in Cambodia



Government

Policy will : Productivity increase and export

Farmer

- Farmers like eating floating rice
- Farmers advised that floating rice is good for health, in particular older people because this rice can ease blood circulation and joint pains.
- Floating rice uses less chemical inputs, so there are less chemical residues in soil and water.
- If floating rice farmers continue to produce on existing rice fields and keep Roneam trees in their rice field, floating rice can contribute to Fishery Natural Resources management.
- Floating rice and animal grazing is a harmonious combination.

Consumer

- Health degradation caused by chemical inputs
- Negative impact of agriculture on environment



The future is in our hand



Only rich and large scale farmers?



OR



Produce in harmony
“rice - agrobiodiversity - human”?





Thank you for your attention

